

**NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.**

**Only the most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC's office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.**

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: HJC DATE TYPED: 02/08/02 HB 242/HJCS  
SHORT TITLE: Waive Fees for Certain Crime Victims SB \_\_\_\_\_  
ANALYST: Wilson

### REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY02	FY03			
	\$0.1 See Narrative		Recurring	Local

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office (AG)  
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)  
Department of Public Safety (DPS)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of HJC Substitute

HB 242/HJCS prohibits charging an alleged victim of domestic violence the costs of filing a criminal charge, the issuance or service of a warrant, the issuance or service of a witness subpoena or the issuance or service of a protection order.

HB 242/HJCS adds qualifying language limiting the section on harassment to harassment when the alleged victim is also an alleged victim of domestic abuse as provided in current law. Therefore, the \$20 fee will not be waived in non-domestic violence situations such as when a citizen files a criminal complaint against his or her neighbor for harassment.

HB 242/HJCS also states that domestic violence incidents are complex and require special training on the part of law enforcement officers, that the state of New Mexico discourages dual arrests, and that when making arrests, and law enforcement officer shall seek to identify and shall consider whether one of the parties acted in self-defense.

Significant Issues

These amendments are being made so that state law will comply with requirements in the federal Violence Against Women Act of 2000. The US Department of Justice refused to continue funding grants of about \$1 million, citing several problems including discouraging arrests. Section 1 of this bill appears to tighten up another requirement of the Violence Against Women Act relating to charging fees.

Currently, a citizen can file criminal misdemeanor complaints in magistrate court. Citizens will file these complaints when the police have decided, for whatever reasons, not to file the case. Often police will not file because there is no probable cause to file the complaint. In the vast majority of domestic violence cases, police departments or the district attorney's office are involved in filing the case and no filing fees are charged.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The courts will lose a small amount of money when a person files under this act since certain court fees are waived.

DPS notes that the impact will be felt by Sheriffs' agencies and municipal law enforcement agencies, which normally serve the documents mentioned.

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

DPS says that a possible impact is if some County agencies are required to cut personnel because of the fees no longer being paid for document service. If this happens DPS Law Enforcement Personnel will see an increase in calls for service. This increase will impact performance measures as Officers will be responding to additional calls opposed to patrolling and handling regular calls for service.

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

The AG has provided the following:

HB 242 amends the Criminal Code to remove the possibility that victims would incur the cost of prosecuting sexual crimes, stalking or harassment, crimes against household members, and violations of protection orders. HB 242 also makes it possible to seek a protective order against an offender in those crimes without cost to the victim. The costs of the prosecution of crimes is typically a cost borne by the State, and this bill creates a safety net to insure that none of these costs are misdirected at a crime victim in those instances where inadequate funding or inadequate staffing might lead to such a result.

Currently, "domestic abuse" victims are entitled to protective orders without charge. This bill clarifies the type of crime victims who could receive free protective orders. Those victims who could receive a protective order without charge under the terms of this bill include victims of "crimes against a household member", stalking or harassment, sexual offenses and victims of violations of protective orders.